FISH PASSAGE AND RESTORATION PERMITTING WORKSHOP





MARCH 25, 2021









AND MANY OTHERS







Little Lost Man – Humboldt 101, PM 124.49

Pre-project, double-bay Reinforced Concrete Box Culvert



Upstream Channel







Little Lost Man – Humboldt 101, pm 124.49





~1.2 miles of access for threatened Coho salmon and Steelhead

FISH PASSAGE AND RESTORATION PERMITTING WORKSHOP





MARCH 25, 2021



WORKSHOP AGENDA

Fish Passage and Restoration Permitting:

- Small Habitat Restoration Program (SHRP) General Order
- Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Act (HREA)
- HREA Best Practices
- Restoration Permitting for Larger Projects

GENERAL WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION FOR SMALL HABITAT RESTORATION PROJECTS STREAMLINED PERMITTING FOR SMALL RESTORATION PROJECTS

JAKE SHANNON, RESTORATION SPECIALIST NORTH COAST REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD



Water Boards

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

CALIFORNIA

HEALTHY WATERSHEDS, EFFECTIVE REGULATION, STRONG PARTNERSHIPS

- 9 Regional Water Boards and State Water Board in Sacramento
- Implement the 401 Water Quality Certification Program
 - Any project that requires a federal permit or involves dredge/fill activities that may result in a discharge to waters of the U.S. and/or waters of the state are required to obtain a Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification.
- Developed streamlined permitting pathways to support and incentivize restoration projects, including:
 - Adoption of the General Water Quality Certification for Small Habitat Restoration Projects (SHRP)
 - Reduced permitting fees for restoration projects
 - Adoption of the Policy in Support of Restoration in the North Coast Region
 - Development of the upcoming statewide Restoration General Order



OVERVIEW

- I. Description & history of the SHRP
- 2. Project eligibility requirements of the SHRP
- 3. CEQA Categorical Exemption § 15333
- 4. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 404 permitting for small habitat restoration projects
- 5. Early consultation & the pre-application process
- 6. Notice of Intent (Application)
- 7. Notice of Applicability (Enrollment Letter)
- 8. Built-in flexibility & Amendments
- 9. Monitoring & post-project requirements



DESCRIPTION & HISTORY OF THE SHRP

- 2002: The report Removing Barriers to Restoration, Report of the Task Force to the Secretary of Resources was released.
 - Highlighted barriers to voluntary restoration, notably state and federal permitting processes, which are complex, costly, and time consuming.
- 2004: CEQA Categorical Exemption § 15333 Small Habitat Restoration Projects
- **2007:** The State Water Board adopted the SHRP



Removing Barriers to Restoration

DESCRIPTION & HISTORY OF THE SHRP

- The SHRP is a General Water Quality Certification
 - Incorporates eligibility requirements, application requirements, permit conditions, and CEQA findings into the Order
 - Allows more streamlined permitting process for small-scale restoration projects
 - Simpler permit application
 - Reduced fees (currently \$551 Application Fee & \$276 Annual Fee)
 - Opens the door to CDFW's Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Act

ater Boards	
ate Water Resources Control Bo	ard
GENERAL WATER C	FOR CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 401 UALITY CERTIFICATION FOR SMALL HABITAT OJECTS FILE # \$809016GN <u>\$B12006GN</u>
September 6, 2012, are shown b	Certification (Certification) issued on elow as additions in <u>bold underline</u> , and deletions in ot include minor grammatical edits.
qualify for a categorical exemptio section 15333, "Small Habitat Re	der) authorizes small habitat restoration projects that in under, California Code of Regulations title 14, storation Projects." These projects are intended to he state and contribute to the state's No Net Loss).
Order (effective August 10, 200 Order prescribing Waste Disch program provisions may be co Board (State Water Board). If Board, this 2012 Order will exp	eissuance of the expired Small Habitat Restoration 7- August 10, 2012; 2007 Order) until an updated arge Requirements (WDRs) containing new nsidered by the State Water Resources Control the new WDRs are adopted by the State Water ire. It is anticipated that current enrollees will be the new WDRs for Small Habitat Restoration
just prior to the expiration of th Board or a Regional Water Qua or less from the expiration date August 10, 2012) and not enrol instated under this 2012 Order. NOI and the expiration date (At review period under this 20120	es the status of Notices of Intent (NOIs) received te 2007 Order. NOIs submitted to the State Water lifty Control Board (Regional Water Board) 30 days of the 2007 Order (i.e., from July 12, 2012 to led under the 2007 Order, shall be automatically re- . The number of days between the receipt of the Jugust 10, 2012) shall be deducted from the 30-day Order. The 30-day review period will restart one er is signed by the Executive Director.
A. ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMEN	ITS
Eligibility for coverage under following criteria:	this Order is limited to discharges which meet the

PROJECT ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

- Project size
- Eligible for CEQA Cat Ex § 15333
- Primary purpose is habitat restoration
- Cannot be a compensatory mitigation project
- Construction period shall not exceed five years.



PROJECT ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS: PROJECT SIZE LIMITS

Project size cannot exceed:

- Five acres (from CEQA Categorical Exemption § 15333)
- 500 linear feet



PROJECT ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS: PROJECT SIZE LIMITS

Guidance documents to help calculate project size

STREAM ZONE OPERATION	AL AREAS (within Wate	rs of the State)						
AREA ID	Width (ft)	Length (ft)	Disturbanc	e (ft²)	Disturbance	e (acres)	Linear Imp	act (ft)
4 structures	80	40	3200	- (/	0.07		40	
2 structures	40	20	800		0.02		20	
5								
7								
3								
TOTAL DISTURBANCE			4000	feet ²	0.09	acres	60.00	linear ft
0								
1 PROJECT ACCESS ROUTES (outside Waters of the S	tate)			20			
2 TRAIL ID	Width (ft)	Length (ft)	Disturbanc	e (ft²)	Disturbance	e (acres)		
3 Skid Trail 1	25	1,100	27500		0.63			
4 Skid Trail 2	20	800	16000		0.37			
5 Skid Trail 3	25	400	10000		0.23			
6 Skid Trail 4	25	250	6250		0.14			
7								
8 TOTAL DISTURBANCE			59750	feet ²	1.37	acres		
9								
0 LARGE WOODY MATERIAL								
1 Number of Trees	Width (ft)	Length (ft)	Disturbanc	e (ft²)		turbance (acres) Linear Impact (ft)		act (ft)
2 14	2.2	74	2279.2		0.05		30.8	
3								
4								
5								
7 TOTAL DISTURBANCE			2279	feet ²	0.05	acres	30.80	linear ft
8			2219	Teet	0.05	acres	50.60	iniedi n
9 CANOPY REMOVAL AREA (ALCHIATIONS						CONVE	PSION
0 Number of Trees	ALCOLATIONS	Disturbance pe	r troo (acros)	Total Dist	urbance (acr	66)	Acres	Feet ²
1 14		0.015		0.21	an barree (aci		1	43560.17
		0.010					-	
2 3 TOTAL PROJECT SIZE								



18

PROJECT ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS: CEQA CAT. EX. § 15333

- Projects must be eligible for CEQA Cat Ex § 15333
 - Project size cannot exceed five acres
 - Result in no significant adverse impact on endangered, rare, or threatened species or their habitat
 - No hazardous materials at or around the project site that may be disturbed or removed
 - Cannot result in significant impacts when viewed in connection with past or probable future projects
- A CEQA Notice of Exemption was filed with the State Clearinghouse in 2012.
 - Project-specific Notice of Exemption is not required

Notice of Exemption	Appendix E	
Tec. 045-147-147-147-147-147-147-147-147-147-147		
To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	From: (Public Agency): State Water Resources Control Board	
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044		
County Clerk	1001 I St., 15th floor, Sacramento, CA 95814	
County of:	(Address)	
Project Title: General Water Quality Certific	cation for Small Habitat Restoration Projects	
Project Applicant: Statewide Permit		
Project Location - Specific:	and the second	
Various projects Statewide		
Project Location - City:	Project Location - County:	
Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficia The General 401 Water Quality Certification Or		
	ate Water Dessures Control Dessure	
Name of Public Agency Approving Project: St	ale water Resources Control Board	
Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Proje	ect; State Water Resources Control Board	
Exempt Status: (check one):		
Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);		
 Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)) Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4)) 		
Categorical Exemption State type an	d section number: Small Habitat Restoration section 15333	
Statutory Exemptions. State code nur	mber:	
Reasons why project is exempt:	tion under California Code of Regulations title 14 section 15333	
Lead Agency Contact Person: Catherine Woody	Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 916-341-5785	
filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemption	finding	
2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed b	y the public agency approving the project? Yes No	
Signature: Cliffind Hanun FOR CATHERNE WOODY	Date: SEPT. 7, 2012 Title: ENVIRONMENTAL SCIE	NTIST
Signed by Lead Agency Signed	d by Applicant	
Ahority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resound renner: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public	Resource Code	
	SEP 0 7 2012	
	STATE CLEARING HOUSE	

CEQA CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION § 15333

§ 15333. Small Habitat Restoration Projects.

- Class 33 consists of projects <u>not to exceed five acres in size</u> to assure the maintenance, restoration, enhancement, or protection of habitat for fish, plants, or wildlife provided that:
- (a) There would be no significant adverse impact on endangered, rare or threatened species or their habitat pursuant to section 15065,
- (b) There are no hazardous materials at or around the project site that may be disturbed or removed, and
- (c) The project will not result in impacts that are significant when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.
- (d) Examples of small restoration projects may include, but are not limited to:
 - (1) revegetation of disturbed areas with native plant species;
 - (2) wetland restoration, the primary purpose of which is to improve conditions for waterfowl or other species that rely on wetland habitat;
 - (3) stream or river bank revegetation, the primary purpose of which is to improve habitat for amphibians or native fish;
 - (4) projects to restore or enhance habitat that are carried out principally with hand labor and not mechanized equipment.
 - (5) stream or river bank stabilization with native vegetation or other bioengineering techniques, the primary purpose of which is to reduce or eliminate erosion and sedimentation; and
 - (6) culvert replacement conducted in accordance with published guidelines of the Department of Fish and Game or NOAA Fisheries, the primary purpose of which is to improve habitat or reduce sedimentation.

PROJECT ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS: PROJECT PURPOSE & COMPENSATORY MITIGATION

- Primary Project Purpose
 - Primary purpose must be habitat restoration.
 - Cannot be part of a larger project whose primary purpose is not habitat restoration.
- Compensatory Mitigation Projects
 - Cannot be a compensatory mitigation project.



PROJECT ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS: CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

The project construction period shall not exceed five years.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEEF HABITAT RESTORATION PROJEC

- CWA Section 404 permit from the Army Corps of Engineers often required as well, typically:
 - Nationwide Permit 27 Aquatic Habitat Restoration, Enhancement, and Establishment Activities
 - Nationwide Permit 13 Bank Stabilization
- Contact the appropriate Army Corps of Engineers office for more information



23

EARLY CONSULTATION & PRE-APPLICATION PROCESS

- Early consultation with CDFW & Water Board staff is key
 - Application package needs to contain everything both agencies require:
 - Engineering designs,
 - Impacts,
 - Discharged materials,
 - Sediment and erosion control BMPs,
 - Dewatering plan,
 - Resource protection measures,
 - and more.



NOTICE OF INTENT

Notice of Intent (NOI)

- 7-page application
- Project & applicant name
- Project location information
- Receiving waterbody
- Project description*
- Project timing
- Discharge information*
- Project size & impacts*
- Monitoring & Reporting plan

		n of Water Qu Mailin	ality, 1001 Street, 15" f Address: P.O. Box 100		trol Board nia 95814 - (018) 341-5455 95812-0100 xxxxds.ca.pxv/
	GEN	ERAL 40	TO COMPLY W 1 WATER QUAL LL HABITAT RE	OF INTENT ITH THE TERMS ITY CERTIFICAT STORATION PR BER: SB12006G	TION ORDER FOR ROJECTS
Regiona	I Water Quality C	ontrol Bo	ard (Regional Wa	ter Board) and St	ate Water Resources Control Board
WDID:	Regional Boan		Date NOI Received:	Check No:	G USE ONLY
. PROJE Project T Applicant			RMATION		
Business					
Street Ad	idress: nty, State, Zip:				
011,000				Fax	
Telephon					
				Check B	lox if Same As Above
Telephon E-mail: I. PROPE	RTY OWNER				
E-mail: I. PROPE	ERTY OWNER				
E-mail: I. PROPE Name:					
E-mail: I. PROPE Name: Street Ad					

https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/cwa401/docs/generalorders/shrpcert032713_b.docx

NOTICE OF INTENT: SUBMISSION GUIDANCE

- I. Via email, site visitor, or a meeting, work with Water Board and CDFW staff to confirm project eligibility.
- 2. Encourage submitting a draft NOI and application package to both agencies for review
- 3. Incorporate any comments and formally submit NOI

Tip: Work with agency staff to incorporate flexibility into the project description and timeline. It is easier to authorize anticipated adaptive management actions than it is to amend permits.



NOTICE OF APPLICABILITY

Notice of Applicability (NOA)

- Enrollment letter that certifies coverage under the SHRP
- Reflects what is in the complete NOI and application package
- Should address CDFW's HREA requirements associated with the project
- NOAs differ from Individual 401 Certifications and cannot be conditioned beyond those in the General Order





North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board

March 15, 2021

David Wright The Nature Conservancy 90 W. Redwood Avenue Fort Bragg, CA 95437 David.wright@tnc.org

Dear David Wright:

- Subject: Notice of Applicability (NOA) for Coverage under the State Water Resources Control Board General 401 Water Quality Certification Order for Small Habitat Restoration Projects SB12006GN
- File: Ten Mile River Mainstem Enhancement Project Phase 1 CW-871967; WDID No. 1B21011WNME

This letter is to certify coverage of the Ten Mile River Mainstem Enhancement Project Phase 1 (Project) under the General 401 Water Quality Certification Order for Small Habitat Restoration Projects (General 401 Order); Order No. SB12006GN. The Project is designed to significantly increase juvenile salmonid rearing habitat volume and value in what is currently a deeply entrenched channel that is largely disconnected from its floodplains.

Background

On January 27, 2021, the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) received a Notice of Intent (NOI) from David Wright, The Nature Conservancy (Applicant) to comply with the terms of, and obtain coverage under, the General 401 Order. On March 9, 2021, supplemental information was provided and the NOI was deemed complete.

Project Location

The Project is located at 28800 Camp 2 Ten Mile Road, Fort Bragg, CA 95437 at 39.556851° N, 123.723451° W, within the Mendocino Coast Hydrologic Unit 113.13.

Project Description

The Ten Mile River is an important watershed for maintaining and recovering populations of coho salmon, steelhead, and Chinook salmon. Restoration actions in the Ten Mile watershed are considered to be a high priority by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) as the potential for effective restoration is high and the coho inhabiting the Ten Mile River watershed are a regionally

GREGORY A. GIUSTI , CHAIR | MATTHIAS ST. JOHN, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

5550 Skylane Blvd., Suite A, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 | www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast

BUILT-IN FLEXIBILITY & AMENDMENTS

- Examples of flexibility:
 - Completion Date
 - Consider incorporating additional years of permit coverage
 - Seasonal Work Period
 - Develop approved wet weather sideboards to allow late season work
 - Material variances
 - Identify potential project alternatives up front
 - Adaptive management
 - Work with agency staff during development of the NOI to incorporate any potential adaptive management actions that may be required.

Seasonal Work Plan:

SRWC will submit a work plan for construction of ancillary structures or major repair activities to NCRWCQB and CDFW at least 30 days prior to the proposed work window. The work plan will provide details on specific construction activities for each project site, including equipment type, materials, access and BMPs. NCRWCQB and CDFW will work with SRWC to provide a notice to proceed (NTP) within 30 business days of receiving the work plan. Construction will not commence until the NTP is received and the BMPs are in place.

Project Time Frame Start Date: November 1, 2020 Completion Date: October 15, 2021 Number of Workdays: Approximately 40 days Seasonal Work Period: May 15 through October 15, with the possibility of extension with written approval from the Regional Water Board and CDFW

BUILT-IN FLEXIBILITY & AMENDMENTS

- Amending the NOA may require amending the HREA Consistency Determination issued by CDFW
- Typically, the need for an amendment can be avoided by developing flexible permit language
 - Usually amending project timeframe or field-fitting issues
 - Turn-around time can be expedited in the case of emergencies but best to avoid "fire drills" whenever possible

	Gener Manade
	the first set
er Board	
Coast Re	gional Water Quality Control Board
July 27, 20	20
Ethan Inlar	
	Conservancy n Street, 4 th Floor
	sco, CA 94105
einlander@)tnc.org
Dear Ethar	Inlander:
Subject:	Amendment to the Notice of Applicability for Coverage under the State Water Resources Control Board General 401 Water Quality Certification Order for Small Habitat Restoration Projects
	SB12006GN
File:	South Fork Ten Mile River Enhancement Project Phase 1B Project WDID No. 1B20019WNME; CW-864879
Water Boa (NOA) for (Water Qua	2020, the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional d) received your request to amend the April 20, 2020 Notice of Applicability 20verage under the State Water Resources Control Board General 401 lity Certification Order for Small Habitat Restoration Projects SB12006GN for ork Ten Mile Habitat Enhancement Phase 1B (Project).
	to your request, this letter serves as an amendment to the April 20, 2020 ing the addition of the following:
Project	Size
	al Project size is approximately 4.29 acres and 499 linear feet. The Project
	es not exceed five acres or 500 linear feet, which is what is allowed for e under the General 401 Order and associated California Environmental
	Act (CEQA) categorical exemption (section 15333).
The Pro	Impacts ject will result in temporary impacts to approximately 0.31 acres and 499
linear fe	et of waters of the state.
	VALERIE L. QUINTO, CHAIR MATTHIAS ST. JOHN, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
	5550 Skytane Bivd., Suite A, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast

29

MONITORING & POST-PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

- Proposed monitoring & reporting plan should be commensurate with project complexity, risk of adverse impacts, and other environmental factors such and presence of listed species.
 - As-built report with photos and narrative,
 - First high flow event report,
 - Fish passage,
 - Water quality monitoring,
 - Riparian planting survivorship,
 - and more
- A Notice of Completion is required at a minimum

Monitoring and Reporting Schedule

As required in Section B, Item 4, of the General 401 Order, monitoring reports shall be submitted to document the achievement of performance standards and Project goals. A report will be submitted to the Regional Water Board following the completion of each seasonal work period and upon Project completion. This report will include the pre- and post-Project monitoring findings and indicate whether performance standards have been achieved. Each report will include a summary of findings, an identification and discussion of problems with achieving performance standards, any proposed corrective measures as needed (requires Regional Water Board approval), and appropriate monitoring data.

A Notice of Completion (NOC) shall be submitted by the applicant no later than 30 days after the Project has been completed. A complete NOC includes at a minimum: photographs with a descriptive title, the date each photograph was taken, the name of the photographic site, the WDID number indicated above, and success criteria for the Project. The NOC shall demonstrate that the Project has been carried out in accordance with the Project description as provided in the applicant's NOI. Please include the Project name and WDID number with all future inquiries and document submittals. Document submittals shall be made electronically to NorthCoast@waterboards.ca.gov.

THANK YOU

Please reach out with any project-specific questions anytime.



Jake Shannon |Senior Environmental Scientist | Restoration Coordination Specialist

(707) 576-2673 | jacob.shannon@waterboards.ca.gov







HREA Overview

- Fish and Game Code Sections 1650-1657
- AB 2193 effective January 1, 2015
- Repeals January 1, 2022
- •SB 716 Current bill to extend HREA to January 1, 2027



USFWS



HREA Overview (continued)

- CESA, LSA, SCP all in one permit
- Tied to SWRCB 401 SHRP Certification
- Small restoration projects
- Expedited review time 30 or 60 days
- LSA fee schedule

CDFW Teri Moore

HREA eligibility

- Primary Purpose: improving fish and wildlife habitat
- Project meets SHRP eligibility requirements
- SHRP Eligibility
 - CEQA Class 33 Categorical Exemption
 - The Project Size Less than five acres or 500 linear feet
 - Not Compensatory Mitigation
 - Primary Project Purpose Habitat restoration
 - Project Construction Period Less than five years



CDFW Andrew Hughan 35



Two Permitting Pathways

- I652 prior to 401 SHRP certification
 - Voluntary, not mitigation
 - Not part of regulatory permit, settlement, or enforcement action
 - Not part of a court order
 - Consistent with best available restoration or enhancement methodologies
 - No cumulative adverse significant impacts
 - 60-day approval timeline

BLM Bob Wick
How to apply:

- Submit to Director's Office:
 - 1652 Application form
 - Appropriate Fee
 - Supporting Documents that include the required information
- Hard Copy via mail or Online via the Document Repository

- Required Information:
 - Contact info
 - Geographic description
 - Biological Assessment
 - Full project description: designs, techniques, methods, project activities and schedule, estimated disturbance, and how the project is expected to benefit species
 - Species protection measures
 - CEQA
 - Any additional evidence that shows that the project meets these requirements

Two Permitting Pathways

- I653 after 401 SHRP certification
 - Tied to SHRP certification
 - Also requires species protection measures
 - 30-day approval timeline



How to apply: Submit to Director's

- Submit to Director's Office:
 - 1653 Application form
 - Appropriate Fee
 - Required Documents
- Hard Copy via mail or
 Online via the Document
 Repository

- Required Documents:•NOI
 - •NOA
 - •Species protection measures

Downsides to HREA

- Front-loaded application
- I653 amendments tied to NOA



- Early Consultation is key!
 - Project eligibility
 - •Best permitting option
 - •Environmental protection measures
 - •Appropriate design and Engineering Review
 - •CDFW and regional water boards can coordinate

• Find out more here:

https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/HREA

Contact information:

Madeleine.Wieland@wildlife.ca.gov

Lucy.Haworth@wildlife.ca.gov



HREA BEST PRACTICES





HREA BEST PRACTICES

- The Good News: Caltrans staff are experts at environmental review, impact assessment, and developing species protection measures
- The information in a NES would typically suffice for getting through the HREA process!



Natural Environment Study

In Siskiyou County about six miles north of Fort Jones from 0.3 mile south to 0.3 mile north of Lower Moffett Creek Road

Siskiyou County, California

02-SIS-3-PM 38.0/38.6

02-4G440 / 02 1400 0013 March 2019



HREA BEST PRACTICES

		Table of Contents		
Summa	ary		v	
List of	Table	5	x	
List of	Figur	es	x	
Chapter	r 1.	Introduction	1	
1.1	Pr	oject Location	1	
1.2	Pr	Project History		
1.3	Pr	oject Description	2	
1.3.1		Project Work Summary	2	
1.	3.2	Project Work Detail	5	
Chapter 2.		Study Methods	9	
2.1	Re	gulatory Requirements	9	
2.2	Str	idies Required		
2.3	Pe	rsonnel and Survey Dates		
2.4	Su	mmary of Agency Coordination and Professional Contacts		
2.5	Li	nitation That May Influence Results		
Chapter	r 3.	Results: Environmental Setting		
3.1	Ph	ysical Conditions in the Biological Study Area		
3.2	Bi	ological Conditions in the Biological Study Area		
3.3	He	bitat Connectivity		
Chapter	r 4.	Results: Biological Resources, Discussion of Impacts and Mitigation		
4.1	H	abitats and Natural Communities of Special Concern		
4.	1.1	Waters		
4.	1.2	Riparian		
4.2	Sp	ecial Status Plant Species		
4.3	Sp	ecial Status Wildlife Species Occurrences		
4.	3.1	Migratory Birds		
	4.3.1	1 Cliff Swallows.		
4.	3.2	Federal and State Threatened or Endangered Species - Wildlife		
	4.3.2	1 SONNC Coho Salmon		
	4.3.2	2 UKTR Chinook Salmon		
4.3.3		Invasive Species		
Chapter 5.		Conclusions and Regulatory Determinations		

- The Caltrans NES may already include:
 - Project location, description, work details
 - Literature review, species surveys and agency consultation
 - Biological conditions
 - Impacts to species and habitats
 - Species protection measures
 - Cut and paste/include with your HREA application

HREA BEST PRACTICES



Early Consultation is the Key to Success!

- Work with your liaisons in advance
- Determine if the project is exempt from CEQA
- Complete species presence surveys
- Develop targeted protection measures for species or habitats that may be affected

BLM Bob Wick

A FEW MORE HREA BEST PRACTICES

- Is HREA the best fit? Projects without the need for other CDFW permits might fit better under an LSA agreement
- Include measures for <u>all</u> the special status species that might occur!
- Don't apply too early! Reduce the need for amendments!
- Agency review of applications prior to submittal can be helpful
- Build in flexibility when possible for minor changes that can occur during implementation

FISH PASSAGE AND RESTORATION PERMITTING FOR LARGER PROJECTS







PROJECT TOO LARGE FOR HREA? - CDFW PERMITTING

- A CEQA environmental document will likely be necessary (EIR, MND, ND)
- CESA and/or other take authorizations may be necessary
- A 1602 LSA agreement will most likely be needed. The LSA agreement may cover movement out of harm's way for certain species
- Agency biological and engineering review strongly recommended

HELP IS ON THE WAY!



- SWRCB is developing a programmatic EIR and General Order for large restoration projects
- CDFW is developing the Restoration Management Permit and improved procedures for Consistency Determinations for restoration projects

RESTORATION MANAGEMENT PERMIT (RMP)

- Consolidate take authorizations into a single permit
- Standardize permitting practices within CDFW
- Facilitate more efficient permitting
- Minimize permit applications and fees



FISH PASSAGE PROJECT TAKE AUTHORIZATIONS

- Endangered, Threatened, and Candidate Species
- Fully Protected Species
- Species of Special Concern
- Common Species



RMPTAKE AUTHORIZATIONS

- CESA-listed species for "scientific, educational, or <u>management</u> purposes"
- FPS for "necessary scientific research, including <u>efforts to</u> <u>recover</u> fully protected, threatened, or endangered species"
- SSC for "scientific, educational, or propagation purposes"
- Common species for "scientific, educational, or <u>propagation</u> purposes"

TYPES OF TAKE COVERED BY RMP

- Movement out of harm's way (pursue, catch, capture)
- Translocation (pursue, catch, capture)
- Monitoring/tagging (pursue, catch capture)
- Lethal take (kill)

CONSISTENCY DETERMINATIONS FOR RESTORATION BIOPS





CONSISTENCY DETERMINATIONS (CDS)

- A CD is a statement from CDFW affirming that a federal take authorization is consistent with CESA
- Applicant submits a written request for a CD to the Director and include a copy of the ITS/ITP and the required fee
- Within 30 days of receipt of the notice, the Director shall determine whether the ITS/ITP "is consistent with" CESA
- CDFW cannot add or remove terms from the ITS/ITP

PROJECT SPECIFIC CONSISTENCY DETERMINATIONS FOR PROGRAMMATIC BIOPS

- Through its Cutting the Green Tape initiative, CDFW is exploring ways to better utilize Programmatic Biological Opinions (BiOps)
- The best time to maximize efficiencies is during ITS/BiOp development

CD'S ON PROGRAMMATIC BO'S - HOW WOULD THIS WORK?



THANK YOU! QUESTIONS ABOUT RESTORATION PERMITTING ?

Brad Henderson: <u>brad.henderson@wildlife.ca.gov</u> CDFW CGT: <u>restorationpermitting@wildlife.ca.gov</u>

